

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	о.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,935 11/13/2003		11/13/2003	Shunji Kamijima	117384	6584
25944	7590	03/15/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF &	BERRIE	GE, PLC	HAN, J	HAN, JASON	
P.O. BOX		Δ 22320	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				2875	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ú.
10/705,935	KAMIJIMA, SHUNJI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason M. Han	2875	

	Jason M. Han	2875						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in complianc	evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 136(a). The date on) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App	eal, but prior to the date of filing a	n appeal brief. The No	otice of Appeal					
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAPPEAR APPEAR AP	11.37 must be filed within two mon CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);						
appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·	-	_					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13. Other:								

JOHN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAMINER

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed February 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicant's Independent Claim 1 does not read over the prior art of Dewald (U.S. Publication 2001/0008470) in view Oe (U.S. Patent 5863113), whereby all structural limitations of the claim have been cited. The prior art of Dewald in view of Oe teaches an end face that receives incident light from a color wheel, whereby the end surface has been modified to incorporate a scattering or blaze surface. Applicant should be aware that the scope of the claim, as stated, does not read over the prior art. Applicant may reopen prosecution via filing an appeal or request for continued examination (RCE).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-2207. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,935 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMH (3/10/2005)

DRIMARY EXAMINER